

UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA, FACULTY OF ECONOMICS

QUANTITATIVE FINANCE AND ACTUARIAL SCIENCE

PROBABILITY AND STATISTICS

WRITTEN EXAMINATION

FEBRUARY 12<sup>th</sup>, 2021

NAME AND SURNAME: \_\_\_\_\_ ID: 

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

INSTRUCTIONS

Read the problems carefully before starting your work. There are four problems. You can have a sheet with formulae and a mathematical handbook. Write your solutions on the paper provided. You have two hours.

Problem	a.	b.	c.	d.	
1.				•	
2.					
3.			•	•	
4.				•	
Total					

1. (25) Suppose we have a population with  $N$  units. The values of the statistical variable are  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N$ . Denote by  $\mu$  the population mean and by  $\sigma^2$  the population variance.

a. (5) Suppose you chose a simple random sample of size  $n$ . Denote

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N x_k^2.$$

Suggest an unbiased estimate for  $\gamma$ . Explain why it is unbiased.

*Solution: An unbiased estimate of  $\gamma$  is the sample average of the squares of sample values. We also have*

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N x_k^2 - \mu^2 = \gamma - \mu^2.$$

b. (5) Suppose you chose a simple random sample of size  $n$ . Suggest an unbiased estimate for  $\mu^2$ .

*Hint: Note that  $\sigma^2 = \gamma - \mu^2$ .*

*Solution: We know that*

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{N-1}{N(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$$

*is an unbiased estimate of  $\sigma^2$ . We have denoted the sample values by  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ . Since in the above equation in the hint we have unbiased estimates for two of the three quantities and the relationship is linear, we can estimate the third, i.e.  $\mu^2$ , in an unbiased way.*

c. (5) Assume now that the population is divided into  $K$  equally sized groups of size  $M$  so that  $N = KM$ . A sample is chosen in such a way that  $k$  groups are chosen from all the  $K$  groups by simple random sampling. Then all the units from the chosen groups are included into the sample. For the estimator we chose the average of all the  $kM$  sample values. Denote by  $\mu_k$  the population average for the  $k$ -th group and by  $\sigma_k^2$  the population variance for the  $k$ -th group. Find the standard error of the suggested estimator using the quantity

$$\tau^2 = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K (\mu_k - \mu)^2.$$

*Solution: Since all the groups are of equal size we have  $\mu = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K \mu_k$ . We can think that we are choosing a simple random sample form a population of groups. The estimator is therefore unbiased and its variance is given by*

$$\text{var}(\bar{X}) = \frac{\tau^2}{k} \cdot \frac{K - k}{K - 1},$$

where

$$\tau^2 = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{r=1}^K (\mu_r - \mu)^2.$$

- d. (10) Assume that the sample is as in c. We would like to estimate the population variance  $\sigma^2$  on the basis of the sample. Suggest an unbiased estimate. Explain why it is unbiased.

*Hint: look at a.*

*Solution: We think of groups as our primary sampling units. From a. we know that  $\mu^2$  can be estimated in an unbiased way. Returning to our sampling procedure we see that we have an unbiased estimator of*

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N x_k^2.$$

Since

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N x_k^2 - \mu^2$$

and we know how to estimate both quantities on the right we can estimate  $\sigma^2$  in an unbiased way.

To express the estimator explicitly denote by  $X_{ij}$  the value of the variable for the  $j$ th unit in the  $i$ th group selected and let  $A_i$  be the average in this group, and by  $\bar{A}$  the average of all the group averages which is our estimator. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}^2 &= \frac{1}{kM} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^M X_{ij}^2 - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k A_i^2 + \frac{K-1}{K(k-1)} \sum_{i=1}^k (A_i - \bar{A})^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{kM} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^M (X_{ij} - A_i)^2 + \frac{K-1}{K(k-1)} \sum_{i=1}^k (A_i - \bar{A})^2. \end{aligned}$$

2. (25) Assume the data  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are an i.i.d. sample from the distribution given by

$$P(X_1 = x) = \binom{2x}{x} \frac{\beta^x}{4^x (1 + \beta)^{x + \frac{1}{2}}}$$

for  $x = 0, 1, \dots$  and  $\beta > 0$ .

a. (5) Find the maximum likelihood estimator for the parameter  $\beta$ .

*Solution: The log-likelihood function is given by*

$$\ell(\beta|\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \log \binom{2x_k}{x_k} + \log \beta \sum_{k=1}^n x_k - \log 4 \sum_{k=1}^n x_k - \log(1 + \beta) \sum_{k=1}^n \left(x_k + \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

*Taking derivatives and equating with 0 we get the equation*

$$\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k - \frac{1}{1 + \beta} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(x_k + \frac{1}{2}\right) = 0.$$

*Hence*

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{2 \sum_{k=1}^n x_k}{n}.$$

b. (5) Convince yourself that

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_1) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k P(X_1 = k) \\ &= \frac{2\beta}{4(1 + \beta)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [2(k - 1) + 1] P(X_1 = k - 1) \\ &= \frac{2\beta}{4(1 + \beta)} 2E(X_1) + \frac{2\beta}{4(1 + \beta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Use this to show that the maximum likelihood estimator is unbiased.

*Solution: The equality can be checked by a straightforward computation. The equality transforms into*

$$E(X_1) = \frac{\beta}{1 + \beta} E(X_1) + \frac{\beta}{2(1 + \beta)}$$

*or*

$$E(X_1) = \frac{\beta}{2}.$$

*We have*

$$E(\hat{\beta}) = E\left(\frac{2 \sum_{k=1}^n X_k}{n}\right) = \beta,$$

*hence the estimator is unbiased.*

- c. (5) Use the Fisher information to give an approximate standard error for the maximum likelihood estimator.

*Solution: Compute for  $n = 1$ :*

$$\ell'' = -\frac{k}{\beta^2} + \frac{k + \frac{1}{2}}{(1 + \beta)^2},$$

hence

$$E(-\ell'') = \frac{1}{2\beta} + \frac{\frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{1}{2}}{(1 + \beta)^2} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta(\beta + 1)}.$$

It follows that

$$\hat{\text{se}}(\hat{\beta}) = \frac{\sqrt{2\beta(1 + \beta)}}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

- d. (10) Convince yourself that

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_1^2) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^2 P(X_1 = k) \\ &= \frac{\beta}{4(1 + \beta)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [4(k - 1)^2 + 6(k - 1) + 2] P(X_1 = k - 1) \\ &= \frac{\beta}{4(1 + \beta)} (4E(X_1^2) + 6E(X_1) + 2). \end{aligned}$$

Compute the exact standard error of the maximum likelihood estimator.

*Solution: The equality is checked by a straightforward calculation. We get the equation*

$$E(X_1^2)(1 + \beta) = \beta E(X_1^2) + \frac{3\beta}{2} E(X_1) + \frac{\beta}{2}$$

or

$$E(X_1^2) = \frac{\beta(2 + 3\beta)}{4}$$

and as a consequence

$$\text{var}(X_1) = \frac{\beta(1 + \beta)}{2}.$$

the exact variance of the estimator  $\hat{\beta}$  is

$$\text{var}(\hat{\beta}) = \frac{2\beta(1 + \beta)}{n^2}$$

3. (25) Gauss' gamma distribution is given by the density

$$f(x, y) = \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda}{\pi}} y e^{-y} e^{-\frac{\lambda y(x-\mu)^2}{2}}.$$

for  $-\infty < x < \infty$  and  $y > 0$  and  $(\mu, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$ . Assume that the observations are pairs  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$  generated as independent random pairs  $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$  with density  $f(x, y)$ . We would like to test

$$H_0: \mu = 0 \quad \text{versus} \quad H_1: \mu \neq 0.$$

- a. (15) Compute the maximum likelihood estimates of the parameters. Compute the maximum likelihood estimate of  $\lambda$  when  $\mu = 0$ .

*Solution: The log-likelihood function is*

$$\ell = \frac{n}{2} \log \left( \frac{2\lambda}{\pi} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^n (\log y_k - y_k) - \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n y_k (x_k - \mu)^2.$$

*Equate the partial derivatives with 0 to get*

$$\frac{n}{2\lambda} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n y_k (x_k - \mu)^2 = 0$$

*and*

$$\lambda \sum_{k=1}^n y_k (x_k - \mu) = 0.$$

*From the second equation we get*

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k y_k}{\sum_{k=1}^n y_k}.$$

*Insert this into the first equation to get*

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{n}{\sum_{k=1}^n y_k (x_k - \hat{\mu})^2}.$$

*When  $\mu = 0$  the first equation determines  $\lambda$ . We get*

$$\tilde{\lambda} = \frac{n}{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2 y_k}.$$

- b. (10) Find the likelihood ratio statistics for the above testing problem. What is its approximate distribution under  $H_0$ ?

*Solution: The test statistic is*

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda &= 2 \left[ \ell(\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\mu} | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) - \ell(\tilde{\lambda}, 0 | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right] \\ &= n(\log \hat{\lambda} - \log \tilde{\lambda}) - \hat{\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^n y_k (x_k - \hat{\mu})^2 + \tilde{\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2 y_k.\end{aligned}$$

*However, from the equations for estimates we get that*

$$\hat{\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^n y_k (x_k - \hat{\mu})^2 = \tilde{\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2 y_k = n,$$

*which implies*

$$\lambda = n \log \frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\tilde{\lambda}}.$$

*By Wilks's theorem, under  $H_0$  the distribution of the test statistic is approximately  $\chi^2(1)$ .*

4. (25) Assume the regression model

$$Y_k = \beta x_k + \epsilon_k$$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$  where  $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n$  are uncorrelated,  $E(\epsilon_k) = 0$  and  $\text{var}(\epsilon_k) = \sigma^2$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Assume that  $x_k > 0$  for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Consider the following linear estimators of  $\beta$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_1 &= \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k Y_k}{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2} \\ \hat{\beta}_2 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{Y_k}{x_k} \\ \hat{\beta}_3 &= \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n Y_k}{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k}\end{aligned}$$

a. (5) Are all estimators unbiased?

*Solution:* Since  $E(Y_k) = \beta x_k$  for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$  all the estimators are unbiased.

b. (10) Which of the estimators has the smallest standard error? Justify your answer.

*Solution:* All the estimators are unbiased. Gauss-Markov tells us that the best estimator is the one given by least squares and that is  $\hat{\beta}_1$ .

c. (10) Write down the standard errors for all three estimators.

*Solution:* We first compute the theoretical variances. Since  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  are uncorrelated we have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{var}(\hat{\beta}_1) &= \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2} \\ \text{var}(\hat{\beta}_2) &= \frac{\sigma^2 \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^{-2}}{n^2} \\ \text{var}(\hat{\beta}_3) &= \frac{n\sigma^2}{(\sum_{k=1}^n x_k)^2}.\end{aligned}$$

We need an unbiased estimate of  $\sigma^2$ . Theoretically we have that

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^n (Y_k - \hat{\beta} x_k)^2$$

is an unbiased estimator  $\sigma^2$ . This gives us an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ .