

UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA, FACULTY OF ECONOMICS

QUANTITATIVE FINANCE AND ACTUARIAL SCIENCE

PROBABILITY AND STATISTICS

WRITTEN EXAMINATION

JANUARY 28th, 2021

NAME AND SURNAME: _____ ID:

INSTRUCTIONS

Read the problems carefully before starting your work. There are four problems. You can have a sheet with formulae and a mathematical handbook. Write your solutions on the paper provided. You have two hours.

Problem	a.	b.	c.	d.	
1.			•	•	
2.					
3.			•	•	
4.			•	•	
Total					

1. (25) For purposes of sampling the population is divided into K strata of sizes N_1, N_2, \dots, N_K . The sampling procedure is as follows: first a simple random sample of size $k \leq K$ of strata is selected. The selection procedure is independent of the sizes of strata. The second step is then to select a simple random sample in each of the selected strata. If stratum i is selected then we choose a simple random sample of size n_i in this stratum for $i = 1, 2, \dots, K$. Assume the selection process on the second step is independent of the selection process on the first step.

- a. (10) Find an unbiased estimator of the population mean. Explain why it is unbiased.

Hint: let I_i be the indicator that the i -th stratum is selected, and let \bar{X}_i be the sample average for the simple random sample selected in the i -th stratum. The estimator can be written using these random variables. From the description of the sampling procedure we have that the vector (I_1, I_2, \dots, I_K) is independent of all \bar{X}_i , and the variables $\bar{X}_1, \bar{X}_2, \dots, \bar{X}_K$ are independent.

Solution: Define

$$I_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if stratum } i \text{ is chosen,} \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

From the above it follows that $E(I_i) = P(I_i = 1) = k/K$ for all i . Let \bar{Y}_i be the sample average for the sample chosen in stratum i . We have

$$E(I_i \bar{Y}_i) = E(I_i)E(\bar{Y}_i) = \frac{k}{K} \cdot \mu_i.$$

If we put

$$\bar{Y} = \sum_{i=1}^K w_i \cdot \frac{K}{k} \cdot I_i \bar{Y}_i$$

we have

$$E(\bar{Y}) = \sum_{i=1}^K w_i \mu_i = \mu.$$

- b. (15) Find the standard error of your unbiased estimator.

Solution: We have

$$\text{var}(\bar{Y}) = \frac{K^2}{k^2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^K w_i^2 \text{var}(I_i \bar{Y}_i) + 2 \sum_{i < j} w_i w_j \text{cov}(I_i \bar{Y}_i, I_j \bar{Y}_j) \right].$$

By independence of I_i and \bar{Y}_i we have

$$\text{var}(I_i \bar{Y}_i) = E(I_i)E(\bar{Y}_i^2) - E(I_i)^2 E(\bar{Y}_i)^2.$$

We have

$$E(\bar{Y}_i^2) = \text{var}(\bar{Y}_i) + E(\bar{Y}_i)^2 = \frac{\sigma_i^2}{n_i} \cdot \frac{N_i - n_i}{N_i - 1} + \mu_i^2.$$

By independence of (I_i, I_j) and (\bar{Y}_i, \bar{Y}_j) we have

$$\text{cov}(I_i \bar{Y}_i, I_j \bar{Y}_j) = E(I_i I_j) E(\bar{Y}_i) E(\bar{Y}_j) - \frac{k^2}{K^2} \mu_i \mu_j.$$

By definition

$$E(I_i I_j) = P(I_i = 1, I_j = 1) = \frac{k}{K} \cdot \frac{k-1}{K-1}.$$

It follows that

$$\text{cov}(I_i \bar{Y}_i, I_j \bar{Y}_j) = \frac{k}{K} \mu_i \mu_j \left(\frac{k-1}{K-1} - \frac{k}{K} \right).$$

Simplifying we find

$$\text{cov}(I_i \bar{Y}_i, I_j \bar{Y}_j) = -\frac{(K-k)k}{(K-1)K^2} \mu_i \mu_j.$$

Putting all the pieces together gives the standard error.

2. (20) The Birnbaum-Saunders distribution has the density

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\gamma} \left(\frac{1}{x^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{x^{3/2}} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\gamma^2} \left(\sqrt{x} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \right)^2 \right)$$

for $x > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$. Assume that the observed values x_1, \dots, x_n are an i.i.d. sample from the density $f(x)$.

a. (5) Find the MLE estimate for the parameter γ .

Solution: The log-likelihood function is

$$\ell(\gamma, \mathbf{x}) = -n \log 2 - n \log \gamma + \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{x_k^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{x_k^{3/2}} \right) - \frac{1}{2\gamma^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(x_k^{1/2} - x_k^{-1/2} \right)^2.$$

Take the derivative to get

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \gamma} = -\frac{n}{\gamma} + \frac{1}{\gamma^3} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(x_k^{1/2} - x_k^{-1/2} \right)^2.$$

Set the derivative to zero and solve for γ to get

$$\hat{\gamma} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(x_k^{1/2} - x_k^{-1/2} \right)^2}.$$

b. (5) Assume as known that

$$P(X \leq x) = \Phi \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\sqrt{x} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \right) \right),$$

where $\Phi(x)$ is the distribution function of the standard normal distribution. Show that the variable Y defined as

$$Y = \sqrt{X} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{X}}$$

has the $N(0, \gamma^2)$ distribution.

Solution: Denote $f(x) = \sqrt{x} - 1/\sqrt{x}$. The function $f(x)$ is increasing and

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y \leq y) &= P(f(X) \leq y) \\ &= P(X \leq f^{-1}(y)) \\ &= \Phi \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} f(f^{-1}(y)) \right) \\ &= \Phi \left(\frac{y}{\gamma} \right). \end{aligned}$$

c. (5) Is

$$\hat{\gamma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sqrt{X_k} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{X_k}} \right)^2$$

an unbiased estimator of γ^2 ?

Rešitev: Using part b. compute

$$E \left(\sqrt{X_k} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{X_k}} \right) = \gamma^2.$$

It follows that $\hat{\gamma}^2$ is an unbiased estimate of γ^2 .

d. (10) Compute the standard error for $\hat{\gamma}$.

Solution: Compute the second derivative of the log-likelihood function for $n = 1$.

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \gamma^2} = -\frac{1}{\gamma^2} + \frac{3}{\gamma^4} \left(\sqrt{x} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \right).$$

It follows

$$-E \left(\frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \gamma^2} \right) = \frac{2}{\gamma^2}.$$

hence

$$\text{se}(\hat{\gamma}) = \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{2n}}.$$

3. (25) Assume the observed values are pairs $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$. We assume that the pairs are an i.i.d. sample from the bivariate normal density given by

$$f(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{ab - c^2}} e^{-\frac{bx^2 - 2cxy + ay^2}{2(ab - c^2)}}$$

where $a, b > 0$ and $ab - c^2 > 0$. We would like to test the hypothesis

$$H_0: c = 0 \quad \text{versus} \quad H_1: c \neq 0.$$

a. (15) Assume as known that the unrestricted maximum likelihood estimates of the parameters are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{a} & \hat{c} \\ \hat{c} & \hat{b} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2 & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k y_k \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k y_k & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n y_k^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Find the likelihood ratio statistic λ for the testing problem.

Solution: The log-likelihood function is given by

$$\ell(a, b, c | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = -n \log 2\pi - \frac{n}{2} \log(ab - c^2) - \frac{1}{2(ab - c^2)} \sum_{k=1}^n (bx_k^2 - 2cx_k y_k + ay_k^2).$$

Using the known unrestricted maximum likelihood estimates we get

$$\ell(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c} | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = -n \log 2\pi - \frac{n}{2} \log(\hat{a}\hat{b} - \hat{c}^2) - \frac{1}{2(\hat{a}\hat{b} - \hat{c}^2)} \sum_{k=1}^n (\hat{b}x_k^2 - 2\hat{c}x_k y_k + \hat{a}y_k^2).$$

We need to simplify the last expression. Summing up we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (\hat{b}x_k^2 - 2\hat{c}x_k y_k + \hat{a}y_k^2) = \hat{b}n\hat{a} - 2\hat{c}n\hat{c} + \hat{a}n\hat{b}.$$

It follows that

$$\ell(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c} | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = -n \log 2\pi - \frac{n}{2} \log(\hat{a}\hat{b} - \hat{c}^2) - n.$$

In the restricted case we need to maximize

$$\ell(a, b | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = -n \log 2\pi - \frac{n}{2} \log a - \frac{n}{2} \log b - \frac{1}{2a} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2 - \frac{1}{2b} \sum_{k=1}^n y_k^2.$$

The above expression is maximized when the terms containing a and b are maximized. We get

$$\tilde{a} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{b} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n y_k^2.$$

It follows

$$\ell(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, 0 | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = -n \log 2\pi - \frac{n}{2} \log \tilde{a} - \frac{n}{2} \log \tilde{b} - n.$$

We have

$$\lambda = n \left(-\log(\hat{a}\hat{b} - \hat{c}^2) + \log \tilde{a} + \log \tilde{b} \right).$$

b. (10) What is the approximate distribution of λ under H_0 ?

Solution: By Wilks's theorem $\lambda \sim \chi^2(r)$ where $r = 3 - 2 = 1$.

4. (25) Assume the following linear regression model:

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

with $E(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = 0$ and

$$\text{var}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{V},$$

where

$$v_{ij} = \frac{\rho^{|i-j|}}{1 - \rho^2}.$$

Assume that σ^2 is an unknown constant, and $\rho \in (-1, 1)$ is known.

- a. (10) Let the components Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n of the vector \mathbf{Z} be given by the *Cochran-Orcutt* transformation

$$Z_1 = \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} Y_1 \quad \text{in} \quad Z_i = Y_i - \rho Y_{i-1}$$

for $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$. Compute $\text{var}(Z_i)$, $\text{cov}(Z_i, Z_j)$ for $i \neq j$.

Solution: Compute

$$\text{var}(Z_1) = \sigma^2,$$

and for $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}(Z_1, Z_i) &= \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \text{cov}(Y_1, Y_i - \rho Y_{i-1}) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2 \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}{1 - \rho^2} (\rho^{i-1} - \rho \cdot \rho^{i-2}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Continue to compute $1 < i \leq n$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}(Z_i) &= \text{var}(Y_i - \rho Y_{i-1}) \\ &= \text{var}(Y_i) - 2\rho \text{cov}(Y_i, Y_{i-1}) + \rho^2 \text{var}(Y_{i-1}) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \rho^2} - 2 \frac{\rho^2 \sigma}{1 - \rho^2} + \frac{\rho^2 \sigma^2}{1 - \rho^2} \\ &= \sigma^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}(Z_i, Z_j) &= \text{cov}(Y_i - \rho Y_{i-1}, Y_j - \rho Y_{j-1}) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \rho^2} (\rho^{j-i} - \rho^{j-i+2} - \rho^{j-i} + \rho^{j-i+2}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

b. (15) Find the best unbiased linear estimator of β .

Solution: Define a new matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ by changing rows \mathbf{X}_i of \mathbf{X} into

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_1 = \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \mathbf{X}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_i = \mathbf{X}_i - \rho \mathbf{X}_{i-1}.$$

Change the error terms into

$$\eta_1 = \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \epsilon_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_i = \epsilon_i - \rho \epsilon_{i-1}.$$

The model

$$\mathbf{Z} = \tilde{\mathbf{X}}\beta + \boldsymbol{\eta}$$

satisfies the assumptions of the Gauss-Markov theorem. The BLUE β is

$$\hat{\beta} = (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \tilde{\mathbf{X}})^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \mathbf{Z}.$$