

UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA  
DOCTORAL PROGRAMME IN STATISTICS  
METHODOLOGY OF STATISTICAL RESEARCH  
WRITTEN EXAMINATION  
JANUARY 28<sup>th</sup>, 2021

NAME AND SURNAME: \_\_\_\_\_ ID NUMBER: 

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

INSTRUCTIONS

Read carefully the wording of the problem before you start. There are four problems altogether. You may use a A4 sheet of paper and a mathematical handbook. Please write all the answers on the sheets provided. You have two hours.

Problem	a.	b.	c.	d.	
1.			•	•	
2.					
3.			•	•	
4.			•	•	
Total					

1. (25) For purposes of sampling the population is divided into  $K$  strata of sizes  $N_1, N_2, \dots, N_K$ . The sampling procedure is as follows: first a simple random sample of size  $k \leq K$  of strata is selected. The selection procedure is independent of the sizes of strata. The second step is then to select a simple random sample in each of the selected strata. If stratum  $i$  is selected then we choose a simple random sample of size  $n_i$  in this stratum for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, K$ . Assume the selection process on the second step is independent of the selection process on the first step.

- a. (10) Find an unbiased estimator of the population mean. Explain why it is unbiased.

*Hint: let  $I_i$  be the indicator that the  $i$ -th stratum is selected, and let  $\bar{X}_i$  be the sample average for the simple random sample selected in the  $i$ -th stratum. The estimator can be written using these random variables. From the description of the sampling procedure we have that the vector  $(I_1, I_2, \dots, I_K)$  is independent of all  $\bar{X}_i$ , and the variables  $\bar{X}_1, \bar{X}_2, \dots, \bar{X}_K$  are independent.*

*Solution: Define*

$$I_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if stratum } i \text{ is chosen,} \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

*From the above it follows that  $E(I_i) = P(I_i = 1) = k/K$  for all  $i$ . Let  $\bar{Y}_i$  be the sample average for the sample chosen in stratum  $i$ . We have*

$$E(I_i \bar{Y}_i) = E(I_i)E(\bar{Y}_i) = \frac{k}{K} \cdot \mu_i.$$

*If we put*

$$\bar{Y} = \sum_{i=1}^K w_i \cdot \frac{K}{k} \cdot I_i \bar{Y}_i$$

*we have*

$$E(\bar{Y}) = \sum_{i=1}^K w_i \mu_i = \mu.$$

- b. (15) Find the standard error of your unbiased estimator.

*Solution: We have*

$$\text{var}(\bar{Y}) = \frac{K^2}{k^2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^K w_i^2 \text{var}(I_i \bar{Y}_i) + 2 \sum_{i < j} w_i w_j \text{cov}(I_i \bar{Y}_i, I_j \bar{Y}_j) \right].$$

*By independence of  $I_i$  and  $\bar{Y}_i$  we have*

$$\text{var}(I_i \bar{Y}_i) = E(I_i)E(\bar{Y}_i^2) - E(I_i)^2 E(\bar{Y}_i)^2.$$

We have

$$E(\bar{Y}_i^2) = \text{var}(\bar{Y}_i) + E(\bar{Y}_i)^2 = \frac{\sigma_i^2}{n_i} \cdot \frac{N_i - n_i}{N_i - 1} + \mu_i^2.$$

By independence of  $(I_i, I_j)$  and  $(\bar{Y}_i, \bar{Y}_j)$  we have

$$\text{cov}(I_i \bar{Y}_i, I_j \bar{Y}_j) = E(I_i I_j) E(\bar{Y}_i) E(\bar{Y}_j) - \frac{k^2}{K^2} \mu_i \mu_j.$$

By definition

$$E(I_i I_j) = P(I_i = 1, I_j = 1) = \frac{k}{K} \cdot \frac{k-1}{K-1}.$$

It follows that

$$\text{cov}(I_i \bar{Y}_i, I_j \bar{Y}_j) = \frac{k}{K} \mu_i \mu_j \left( \frac{k-1}{K-1} - \frac{k}{K} \right).$$

Simplifying we find

$$\text{cov}(I_i \bar{Y}_i, I_j \bar{Y}_j) = -\frac{(K-k)k}{(K-1)K^2} \mu_i \mu_j.$$

Putting all the pieces together gives the standard error.

2. (20) The Birnbaum-Saunders distribution has the density

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\gamma} \left( \frac{1}{x^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{x^{3/2}} \right) \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2\gamma^2} \left( \sqrt{x} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \right)^2 \right)$$

for  $x > 0$  and  $\gamma > 0$ . Assume that the observed values  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are an i.i.d. sample from the density  $f(x)$ .

a. (5) Find the MLE estimate for the parameter  $\gamma$ .

*Solution: The log-likelihood function is*

$$\ell(\gamma, \mathbf{x}) = -n \log 2 - n \log \gamma + \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{x_k^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{x_k^{3/2}} \right) - \frac{1}{2\gamma^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( x_k^{1/2} - x_k^{-1/2} \right)^2.$$

*Take the derivative to get*

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \gamma} = -\frac{n}{\gamma} + \frac{1}{\gamma^3} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( x_k^{1/2} - x_k^{-1/2} \right)^2.$$

*Set the derivative to zero and solve for  $\gamma$  to get*

$$\hat{\gamma} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( x_k^{1/2} - x_k^{-1/2} \right)^2}.$$

b. (5) Assume as known that

$$P(X \leq x) = \Phi \left( \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \sqrt{x} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \right) \right),$$

where  $\Phi(x)$  is the distribution function of the standard normal distribution. Show that the variable  $Y$  defined as

$$Y = \sqrt{X} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{X}}$$

has the  $N(0, \gamma^2)$  distribution.

*Solution: Denote  $f(x) = \sqrt{x} - 1/\sqrt{x}$ . The function  $f(x)$  is increasing and*

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y \leq y) &= P(f(X) \leq y) \\ &= P(X \leq f^{-1}(y)) \\ &= \Phi \left( \frac{1}{\gamma} f(f^{-1}(y)) \right) \\ &= \Phi \left( \frac{y}{\gamma} \right). \end{aligned}$$

c. (5) Is

$$\hat{\gamma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \sqrt{X_k} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{X_k}} \right)^2$$

an unbiased estimator of  $\gamma^2$ ?

*Rešitev:* Using part b. compute

$$E \left( \sqrt{X_k} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{X_k}} \right) = \gamma^2.$$

*It follows that  $\hat{\gamma}^2$  is an unbiased estimate of  $\gamma^2$ .*

d. (10) Compute the standard error for  $\hat{\gamma}$ .

*Solution:* Compute the second derivative of the log-likelihood function for  $n = 1$ .

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \gamma^2} = -\frac{1}{\gamma^2} + \frac{3}{\gamma^4} \left( \sqrt{x} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \right).$$

*It follows*

$$-E \left( \frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \gamma^2} \right) = \frac{2}{\gamma^2}.$$

*hence*

$$\text{se}(\hat{\gamma}) = \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{2n}}.$$

3. (25) Assume the observed values are pairs  $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$ . We assume that the pairs are an i.i.d. sample from the bivariate normal density given by

$$f(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{ab - c^2}} e^{-\frac{bx^2 - 2cxy + ay^2}{2(ab - c^2)}}$$

where  $a, b > 0$  and  $ab - c^2 > 0$ . We would like to test the hypothesis

$$H_0: c = 0 \quad \text{versus} \quad H_1: c \neq 0.$$

a. (15) Assume as known that the unrestricted maximum likelihood estimates of the parameters are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{a} & \hat{c} \\ \hat{c} & \hat{b} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2 & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k y_k \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k y_k & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n y_k^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Find the likelihood ratio statistic  $\lambda$  for the testing problem.

*Solution: The log-likelihood function is given by*

$$\ell(a, b, c | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = -n \log 2\pi - \frac{n}{2} \log(ab - c^2) - \frac{1}{2(ab - c^2)} \sum_{k=1}^n (bx_k^2 - 2cx_k y_k + ay_k^2).$$

*Using the known unrestricted maximum likelihood estimates we get*

$$\ell(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c} | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = -n \log 2\pi - \frac{n}{2} \log(\hat{a}\hat{b} - \hat{c}^2) - \frac{1}{2(\hat{a}\hat{b} - \hat{c}^2)} \sum_{k=1}^n (\hat{b}x_k^2 - 2\hat{c}x_k y_k + \hat{a}y_k^2).$$

*We need to simplify the last expression. Summing up we get*

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (\hat{b}x_k^2 - 2\hat{c}x_k y_k + \hat{a}y_k^2) = \hat{b}n\hat{a} - 2\hat{c}n\hat{c} + \hat{a}n\hat{b}.$$

*It follows that*

$$\ell(\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c} | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = -n \log 2\pi - \frac{n}{2} \log(\hat{a}\hat{b} - \hat{c}^2) - n.$$

*In the restricted case we need to maximize*

$$\ell(a, b | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = -n \log 2\pi - \frac{n}{2} \log a - \frac{n}{2} \log b - \frac{1}{2a} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2 - \frac{1}{2b} \sum_{k=1}^n y_k^2.$$

*The above expression is maximized when the terms containing  $a$  and  $b$  are maximized. We get*

$$\tilde{a} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{b} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n y_k^2.$$

*It follows*

$$\ell(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, 0 | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = -n \log 2\pi - \frac{n}{2} \log \tilde{a} - \frac{n}{2} \log \tilde{b} - n.$$

*We have*

$$\lambda = n \left( -\log(\hat{a}\hat{b} - \hat{c}^2) + \log \tilde{a} + \log \tilde{b} \right).$$

b. (10) What is the approximate distribution of  $\lambda$  under  $H_0$ ?

*Solution: By Wilks's theorem  $\lambda \sim \chi^2(r)$  where  $r = 3 - 2 = 1$ .*

4. (25) Assume the following linear regression model:

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

with  $E(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = 0$  and

$$\text{var}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{V},$$

where

$$v_{ij} = \frac{\rho^{|i-j|}}{1 - \rho^2}.$$

Assume that  $\sigma^2$  is an unknown constant, and  $\rho \in (-1, 1)$  is known.

- a. (10) Let the components  $Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n$  of the vector  $\mathbf{Z}$  be given by the *Cochran-Orcutt* transformation

$$Z_1 = \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} Y_1 \quad \text{in} \quad Z_i = Y_i - \rho Y_{i-1}$$

for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$ . Compute  $\text{var}(Z_i)$ ,  $\text{cov}(Z_i, Z_j)$  for  $i \neq j$ .

*Solution: Compute*

$$\text{var}(Z_1) = \sigma^2,$$

and for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}(Z_1, Z_i) &= \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \text{cov}(Y_1, Y_i - \rho Y_{i-1}) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2 \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}{1 - \rho^2} (\rho^{i-1} - \rho \cdot \rho^{i-2}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

*Continue to compute*  $1 < i \leq n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}(Z_i) &= \text{var}(Y_i - \rho Y_{i-1}) \\ &= \text{var}(Y_i) - 2\rho \text{cov}(Y_i, Y_{i-1}) + \rho^2 \text{var}(Y_{i-1}) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \rho^2} - 2 \frac{\rho^2 \sigma^2}{1 - \rho^2} + \frac{\rho^2 \sigma^2}{1 - \rho^2} \\ &= \sigma^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}(Z_i, Z_j) &= \text{cov}(Y_i - \rho Y_{i-1}, Y_j - \rho Y_{j-1}) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \rho^2} (\rho^{j-i} - \rho^{j-i+2} - \rho^{j-i} + \rho^{j-i+2}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$



b. (15) Find the best unbiased linear estimator of  $\beta$ .

*Solution: Define a new matrix  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$  by changing rows  $\mathbf{X}_i$  of  $\mathbf{X}$  into*

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_1 = \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \mathbf{X}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_i = \mathbf{X}_i - \rho \mathbf{X}_{i-1}.$$

*Change the error terms into*

$$\eta_1 = \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \epsilon_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_i = \epsilon_i - \rho \epsilon_{i-1}.$$

*The model*

$$\mathbf{Z} = \tilde{\mathbf{X}}\beta + \boldsymbol{\eta}$$

*satisfies the assumptions of the Gauss-Markov theorem. The BLUE  $\beta$  is*

$$\hat{\beta} = (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \tilde{\mathbf{X}})^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \mathbf{Z}.$$